NORTH SCOTT COMMUNITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

Annual PROGRESS REPORT

2002 - 2003



NORTH SCOTT COMMUNITY SCHOOL DISTRICT Reporting to Our Community Annual Progress Report 2002-2003

The North Scott Community School District is governed by a board of directors, elected by the public. The board of directors is responsible for determining policies, setting the budget and maintaining standards of excellence in education on behalf of the community, responsible for determining policies, setting the budget and maintaining standards of excellence in education on behalf of the community, responsible for determining policies, setting the budget and maintaining standards of excellence in education on behalf of the community, responsible for determining policies, setting the budget and maintaining standards of excellence in education on behalf of the community, responsible for determining policies, setting the budget and maintaining standards of excellence in education on behalf of the community, responsible for determining policies, setting the budget and maintaining standards of excellence in education on behalf of the community, responsible for determining policies, setting the budget and maintaining standards of excellence in education on behalf of the community, responsible for determining policies, setting the budget and maintaining standards of excellence in education on behalf of the community, responsible for determining policies, setting the budget and maintaining standards of excellence in education on behalf of the community, responsible for determining policies, setting the budget and maintaining standards of excellence in education on behalf of the community, responsible for determining policies, setting the budget and maintaining standards of excellence in education on behalf of the community.

		1050	
North Scott Board of Education		(563) 285-4370	
Jerry Mohr, President		(563) 285-9690	
Virginia Kelly, Vice-President		(563) 285-4181	
Sue Cannon		(563) 225-3891	
Paul Dierickx		(563) 285-4180	
Jack Hill		(563) 285-9781	
Rex Masterson		(563) 285-7133	
Janice Uitenbroek		(563) 285-3103	
Kristy Looney, Board Secretary		(303) 202 212	
Dr. Timothy Dose, Superintendent			
Central Administration			
251 E. Iowa Street, Eldridge, IA 52748		(5(2) 295 0091	
Superintendent	Dr. Tim Dose	(563) 285-9081	
Business Director	Joe Hintze	(563) 285-4147	
Director of Operations	John Netwal	(563) 285-9654	
Curriculum Director	Cindy VanDeWalle	(563) 285-3428	
N. at C. at High Cahool			
North Scott High School 200 S. 1st Street, Eldridge, IA 52748			
	Dr. Terry Sherer	(563) 285-3200	
Principal	Frank Wood	(563) 285-3218	
Associate Principal	Dennis Johnson	(563) 285-3206	
Activities Director	Jay Chelf	(563) 285-3208	
Dean of Students	say enen		
North Scott Junior High			
502 S. 5th Street, Eldridge, IA 52748	David Griffin	(563) 285-3416	
Principal	Pat McGonegle	(563) 285-3415	
Dean of Students/Act. Dir.	r at Meddinegie	(666)	
Neil Armstrong Elementary			
212 S. Parkview Dr., Eldridge, IA 52748	2 2	(563) 285-3122	
Principal	Curt Rheingans	(303) 263-3122	
John Glenn Elementary			
308 N. Main St., P.O. Box 168, Donahue, IA 5274	6	200	
Principal	C. J. Albertson	(563) 282-9862	
Virgil Grissom Elementary			
500 Lost Grove Road, P.O. Box 500, Princeton, IA	52768		
Principal	Jim Pfaff	(563) 289-4404	
Alan Shepard Elementary			
220 W. Grove St., Long Grove, IA 52756			
Principal	Sherri Marceau	(563) 285-3114	
N. I.W. 't. Fl			
Edward White Elementary			
121 S. 5th Street, Eldridge, IA 52748 Principal	John Langenhan	(563) 285-3304	

Note: To contact a North Scott staff member by e-mail the address protocol is: last name_first name@north-scott.k12.ia.us

Mission Statement

The mission of the North Scott Community School District, a unique blend of rural and metropolitan opportunities, is to produce graduates with the capacity to be successful in a changing world by incorporating abundant resources and offering a broad-based curriculum in a respectful, safe environment.

Student Learning Goals

North Scott graduates will:

- · possess a basic core of knowledge
- · demonstrate personal responsibility
- · communicate effectively
- · demonstrate social and civic responsibility
- · demonstrate self-directed life-long learning
- · use complex thinking to solve problems and make decisions
- · work well on a team
- · use technology effectively
- · think creatively



Long-Range Goals

All students will demonstrate progress in their reading performance.

All students will demonstrate progress in their ability to apply mathematical concepts to effectively solve problems.

All students will demonstrate progress in their ability to use science inquiry skills to understand their world.

All students will demonstrate progress in their personal, social, and civic responsibilities.

All students will progress in their readiness for employability in an ever changing world.

North Scott Community Schools At a Glance Enrollment (Sept. 2002)

North Scott High School	1,015
North Scott Junior High	501
Neil Armstrong Elementary	291
John Glenn Elementary	259
Virgil Grissom Elementary	208
Alan Shepard Elementary	295
Edward White Elementary	388
Total	2,957



Achievement in the Basics

Many measures are used to gauge student achievement. The North Scott Community School District uses the Iowa Tests of Basic Skills (ITBS) at grades 3-8 and the Iowa Tests of Educational Development (ITED) at grades 9-11 as an accountability and improvement measure at the district level. For accountability to the North Scott Community, achievement results are reported in reading and math at grades 4, 8, and 11, and in science in grades 8 and 11.


Achievement levels are used to report results on the ITBS and ITED assessments and are based on national percentile scores. In the low performance level, students score below acceptable (proficient) levels of performance (from 1-40%); in the intermediate performance level, students score at acceptable levels of performance (from 41-89%); and in the high performance level, students score far above acceptable levels of performance (from 90-99%). Students are considered proficient if they score in the intermediate or high levels.

Testing experts stress that achievement data is best used to identify areas of strength and weakness for individual students and classes, and to measure growth. Because of the way tests are designed, and demographic factors that influence results, standardized test scores alone are not a reliable method for comparing teachers, schools, or districts. The district continues to develop the use of a variety of assessment measures to provide valuable information about how much students are learning.


North Scott teachers and administrators use test data in planning curriculum, developing school improvement plans, and making instructional decisions in the classroom. The district focuses on improving achievement for all students.

Reading


ITBS Grade 4 Reading Comprehension Proficiency, Biennium Averages, NPR



ITBS Grade 8 Reading Comprehension Proficiency, Biennium Averages, NPR



ITBS Grade 11 Reading Comprehension Proficiency, Biennium Averages, NPR



Data Summary: Student proficiency (2001-03) in reading comprehension increased over the previous biennium (2000-02) in grades 4 (82.5% proficient) and 8 (70.9% proficient) and showed a very slight decrease at 11th grade. Information on trend data and the performance of various subgroups follows.

Gender Trend Data, Reading Comprehension

ITBS Grade 4 Gender Trend Data Reading Comprehension Annual Data, NPR

Year	Gender	% in Low Level	% in Intermediate Level	% in High Level	% Proficient
	Female	26.4%	61.8%	11.8%	73.6%
2000-01	Male	24.2%	55.6%	20.2%	75.8%
	Female	19.7%	52.1%	28.2%	80.3%
2001-02	Male	23.4%	61.6%	15.0%	76.6%
2002-03	Female	17.5%	51.4%	31.1%	82.5%
	Male	10.1%	59.6%	30.3%	89.9%

ITBS Grade 8 Gender Trend Data Reading Comprehension Annual Data, NPR

Year	Gender	% in Low Level	% in Intermediate Level	% in High Level	% Proficient
Female	Female	24.2%	62.9%	12.9%	75.8%
2000-01	000-01 Male	32.8%	54.3%	12.9%	67.2%
2001-02 Female Male	Female	28.2%	65.0%	6.8%	71.8%
	Male	33.6%	52.0%	14.4%	66.4%
2002-03	Female	18.1%	57.5%	24.4%	81.9%
	Male	36.6%	49.6%	13.8%	63.4%

ITED Grade 11 Gender Trend Data Reading Comprehension Annual Data, NPR

Year	Gender	% in Low Level	% in Intermediate Level	% in High Level	% Proficient
	Female	19.3%	72.3%	8.4%	80.7%
2000-01 Male	25.8%	60.7%	13.5%	74.2%	
	Female	13.7%	64.7%	21.6%	86.3%
2001-02	Male	20.9%	54.7%	24.4%	79.1%
2002-03 Female Male	Female	20.3%	54.2%	25.5%	79.7%
	27.7%	51.3%	21.0%	72.3%	



Socioeconomic Status Trend Data, Reading Comprehension

ITBS Grade 4 Socioeconomic Status Reading Comprehension Annual Data, NPR

Year	Group	% in Low Level	% in Intermediate Level	% in High Level	% Proficient
	Non F/R	19.8%	56.8%	23.4%	80.2%
2001-02	F/R	31.2%	56.3%	12.5%	68.8%
	Non F/R	8.2%	55.3%	36.5%	91.8%
2002-03 F/R	35.7%	57.1%	7.2%	64.3%	

ITBS Grade 8 Socioeconomic Status Reading Comprehension Annual Data, NPR

Year	Group	% in Low Level	% in Intermediate Level	% in High Level	% Proficient
	Non F/R	26.9%	61.6%	11.5%	73.1%
2001-02	F/R	55.9%	38.2%	5.9%	44.1%
2002-03	Non F/R	22.2%	56.2%	21.6%	77.8%
2002-03	F/R	48.9%	42.6%	8.5%	51.1%

ITED Grade 11 Socioeconomic Status Reading Comprehension Annual Data, NPR

Year	Group	% in Low Level	% in Intermediate Level	% in High Level	% Proficient
2001-02	Non F/R	8.2%	57.0%	34.8%	91.8%
2001 02	F/R	40.0%	30.0%	30.0%	60.0%
2002-03	Non F/R	20.8%	54.1%	25.1%	79.2%
2002-03	F/R	46.7%	43.3%	10.0%	53.3%

Students with Disabilities Trend Data Reading Comprehension

ITBS Grade 4 Students with Disabilities, Reading Comprehension Annual Data, NPR

Year	Group	% in Low Level	% in Intermediate Level	% in High Level	% Proficient
2001-02	With IEP	50.0%	50.0%	0%	50.0%
2001 02	No IEP	19.5%	57.2%	23.3%	80.5%
2002-03	With IEP	53.3%	33.3%	13.4%	46.7%
	No IEP	10.7%	57.3%	32.0%	89.3%

ITBS Grade 8 Students with Disabilities, Reading Comprehension Annual Data, NPR


Year	Group	% in Low Level	% in Intermediate Level	% in High Level	% Proficient
2001-02	With IEP	85.2%	14.8%	0%	14.8%
	No IEP	24.2%	63.7%	12.1%	75.8%
2002-03	With IEP	74.3%	20.0%	5.7%	25.7%
2002-03	No IEP	19.5%	59.1%	21.4%	80.5%

ITED Grade 11 Students with Disabilities, Reading Comprehension Annual Data, NPR

Year	Group	% in Low Level	% in Intermediate Level	% in High Level	% Proficient
2001-02	With IEP	60.0%	40.0%	0%	40.0%
	No IEP	13.2%	62.1%	24.7%	86.8%
2002.02	With IEP	79.1%	20.9%	0%	20.9%
2002-03	No IEP	11.9%	59.8%	28.3%	88.1%

Mathematics


ITBS Grade 4 Math Proficiency Biennium Averages, NPR






Page 6

ITBS Grade 8, Math Proficiency Biennium Averages, NPR



ITED Grade 11, Math Proficiency Biennium Averages, NPR



Data Summary: In 2001-03 math proficiency for 4th grade students increased to 82.0%. 8th grade showed a slight decline to 71.7%. While 11th grade increased the percent of students in the high performance level, overall student proficiency declined to 81.2%. Information on the trend data and performance of various subgroups in mathematics follows.

Gender Trend Data, Math

ITBS Grade 4 Gender Trend Data Math Annual Data, NPR

Year	Gender	% in Low Level	% in Intermediate Level	% in High Level	% Proficient
2000-01	Female	17.8%	64.4%	17.8%	82.2%
	Male	15.2%	56.5%	28.3%	84.8%
2001-02	Female	23.1%	63.2%	13.7%	76.9%
2001-02	Male	21.5%	61.7%	16.8%	
2002-03 Female Male	Female	13.6%	58.3%	28.1%	78.5%
	13.8%	48.6%		86.4%	
			40.076	37.6%	86.2%

Page 7

ITBS Grade 8 Gender Trend Data Math Annual Data, NPR

Year	Gender	% in Low Level	% in Intermediate Level	% in High Level	% Proficient
2000-01	Female	19.0%	65.5%	15.5%	81.0%
	Male	23.1%	59.8%	17.1%	76.9%
2001-02	Female	28.2%	61.5%	10.3%	71.8%
2001-02	Male	32.8%	53.6%	13.6%	67.2%
2002-03	Female	23.6%	60.6%	15.8%	76.4%
	Male	28.5%	56.1%	15.4%	71.5%

ITED Grade 11 Gender Trend Data Math Annual Data, NPR

Year	Gender	% in Low Level	% in Intermediate Level	% in High Level	% Proficient
2000 01	Female	7.2%	69.9%	22.9%	92.8%
2000-01	Male	11.0%	58.2%	30.8%	89.0%
2001.00	Female	9.6%	60.6%	29.8%	90.4%
2001-02	Male	19.5%	47.2%	33.3%	80.5%
	Female	20.3%	53.4%	26.3%	79.7%
2002-03	Male	26.0%	41.2%	32.8%	74.0%

Socioeconomic Status Trend Data, Math

ITBS Grade 4 Socioeconomic Status Math Annual Data, NPR

Year	Group	% in Low Level	% in Intermediate Level	% in High Level	% Proficient
	Non F/R	20.3%	63.0%	16.7%	79.7%
2001-02	F/R	34.3%	59.4%	6.3%	65.7%
	Non F/R	8.8%	52.9%	38.3%	91.2%
2002-03	F/R	33.3%	54.8%	11.9%	66.7%

ITBS Grade 8 Socioeconomic Status Math Annual Data, NPR

Group	% in Low Level	% in Intermediate Level	% in High Level	% Proficient
Non F/R	25.1%	61.4%	13.5%	74.9%
F/R	62.8%	34.3%	2.9%	37.2%
Non F/R	18.7%	63.6%	17.7%	81.3%
2002-03	57.4%	36.2%	6.4%	42.6%
	Non F/R	Group Level Non F/R 25.1% F/R 62.8% Non F/R 18.7%	Group % in Low Level Intermediate Level Non F/R 25.1% 61.4% F/R 62.8% 34.3% Non F/R 18.7% 63.6%	Group % in Low Level Intermediate Level % in High Level Non F/R 25.1% 61.4% 13.5% F/R 62.8% 34.3% 2.9% Non F/R 18.7% 63.6% 17.7% 36.2% 6.4% 6.4%

ITED Grade 11 Socioeconomic Status Math Annual Data, NPR

Year	Group	% in Low Level	% in Intermediate Level	% in High Level	% Proficient
2001.02	Non F/R	14.4%	53.0%	32.6%	85.6%
2001-02	2 F/R	18.2%	72.7%	9.1%	81.8%
2002.02	Non F/R	18.8%	48.3%	32.9%	81.2%
2002-03	F/R	53.3%	40.0%	6.7%	46.7%

Students with Disabilities Trend Data Math

ITBS Grade 4 Students with Disabilities, Math Annual Data, NPR

Year	Group	% in Low Level	% in Intermediate Level	% in High Level	% Proficient
2001-02	With IEP	50.0%	50.0%	0%	50.0%
	No IEP	20.5%	63.3%	16.2%	79.5%
2002-03	With IEP	40.0%	46.7%	13.3%	60.0%
2002-03	No IEP	11.7%	53.8%	34.5%	88.3%

ITBS Grade 8 Students with Disabilities, Math Annual Data, NPR

Year	Group	% in Low Level	% in Intermediate Level	% in High Level	% Proficient
2001-02	With IEP	92.3%	7.7%		
	No IEP	23.1%	63.5%	0%	7.7%
	With IEP	71.4%		13.4%	76.9%
2002-03	No IEP		22.9%	5.7%	28.6%
	TVOTEP	18.6%	64.2%	17.2%	81.4%

ITED Grade 11 Students with Disabilities, Math Annual Data, NPR


Year Group		% in Low	% in		
	Group	Level	Intermediate	% in High	
2001-02	With IEP	57.1%	Level	Level	% Proficient
2001-02	No IEP		42.9%	0%	42.9%
		11.2%	55.1%	33.7%	
2002-03	With IEP	72.1%	25.6%		88.8%
	No IEP	12.4%		2.3%	27.9%
			52.1%	35.5%	87.6%

2002-03 ITBS Proficiency Scores Elementary Buildings


		80		
Neil Armstrong – 4th Grade				
2001-02 Reading	% Low	OI Y		
2002-03 Reading	22.5	%Interm.	%High	%Prof.
Reading	23,3	55.0	22.5	77.5%
	-5.5	46.5	30.2	76.7%
2001-02 Math				-0.8%
acces many	20.0			-0.8%
2002-03 Math		67.5	12.5	00.00
	22.7	40.9	36.4	80.0%
			20.4	77.3%
				-2.7%
John Glenn – 4th Grade				
2001-02 Reading	% Low	%Interm.		
2002-03 Reading	34.3	51,4	%High	%Prof.
Reading	2.8	58.3	14.3	65.7%
		26.5	38.9	97.2%
2001-02 Math				+31.5%
2000	25.7	60.0		
2002-03 Math	8.3	60.0	14.3	74.3%
	0.5	52.8	38.9	91.7%
				+17.4%
				117.470
<u>Virgil Grissom</u> – 4th Grade				
2001-02 Reading	% Low	%Interm.	%High	C/D C
2002-03 Reading	29.5	65.9	4.6	%Prof.
reading	32.0	48.0	20.0	70.5%
			20.0	68.0%
2001-02 Math				-2.5%
	31.8	63,6		
2002-03 Math	24.0	36.0	4.6	68.2%
		50.0	40.0	76.0%
				+7.8%
Alan Shepard - 4th Grade	% Low			
2001-02 Reading	4.8	%Interm.	%High	%Prof.
2002-03 Reading	9.4	52.4	42.8	95.2%
	9.4	56.6	33.9	90.5%
				-4.7%
2001-02 Math				7.770
	21.4	50.0	28.6	78.6%
2002-03 Math	7.6	60.4	32.0	
			32.0	92.4%
				+13.8%
Ed White – 4th Grade	% Low	%Interm.	COLUMN	
2001-02 Reading	19.1	57.1	%High	%Prof.
2002-03 Reading	2.2	69.6	23.8	80.9%
		09.0	28.3	97.9%
				+17.0%
2001-02 Math	15.0			
	15.9	68.2	15.9	84.1%
2002-03 Math	8.7	65.2	26.1	91.3%
				+7.2%
				T1.270

Science

ITBS Grade 8 Science Proficiency Biennium Averages, NPR



ITED Grade 11 Science Proficiency Biennium Averages, NPR



Data Summary: In science, 8th grade ITBS scores increased for the 2001-03 biennium average from 64% proficient to 75.9% proficient. The 11th grade showed a small decline from 85.6% to 83.3% proficiency. No trend data for subgroups is available as 2002-03 is the first year districts were required to report science subgroup data. That data follows.

Gender Trend Data Science

ITBS Grade 8 Gender Trend Data Science Annual Data, NPR

Year	Gender	% in Low Level	% in Intermediate	% in	% Proficient
2002-03	Female	11.0%	Level	High Level	% Proficient
2002-03	Male	20.3%	70.1%	18.9%	89.0%
		20.376	61.8%	17.9%	79.7%

ITED Grade 11 Gender Trend Data Science Annual Data, NPR

Year	Group	% in Low Level	% in Intermediate Level	% in High Level	% Proficient
2002-03	Female	20.3%	44.9%	34.8%	79.7%
2002-05	Male	46.7%	43.3%	10.0%	53.3%

Socioeconomic Status Trend Data Science

ITBS Grade 8 Socioeconomic Status Trend Data Science Annual Data, NPR

Year	Group	% in Low Level	% in Intermediate Level	% in High Level	% Proficient
2002-03	Non F/R	10.3%	68.0%	21.7%	89.7%
2002-05	F/R	38.3%	57.4%	4.3%	61.7%

ITBS Grade 11 Socioeconomic Status Trend Data Science Annual Data, NPR

Year	Group	Group % in Low Level		% in High Level	% Proficient
2002 02	Non F/R	20.3%	44.9%	34.8%	79.7%
2002-03	F/R	46.7%	43.3%	10.0%	53.3%

Students with Disabilities Trend Data Science

ITBS Grade 8 Students with Disabilities Trend Data Science Annual Data, NPR

Year	Group	Group % in Low			% Proficient
	With IEP	54.3%	37.1%	8.6%	45.7%
2002-03	No IEP	9.3%	70.7%	20.0%	90.7%

ITBS Grade 11 Students with Disabilities Trend Data Science Annual Data, NPR

roup	% in Low Level	Intermediate Level	Level	% Proficient
th IEP	69.8%	27.9%	2.3%	30.2%
IEP	13.4%	48.5%	38.1%	86.6%
With I	th IEP	th IEP 69.8%	th IEP 69.8% 27.9%	th IEP 69.8% 27.9% 2.3%

Science Multiple Assessment Data

Science performance assessment task Grades 8 and 10.

Grade 8

Year	Does not meet	Meets standard	Exceeds standard
1 Cai	standard	45%	36%
2001-02	20%	And the second of the second o	29%
2002 03	33%	38%	2770

Grade 10

Year	Does not meet	Meets standard	Exceeds standard
2001-02	24%	69%	7%
2001-02	40%	47%	13%

Science Improvement Goals

Long-range Goals for Science

All students will demonstrate progress in their ability to use science inquiry skills to understand their world.

2002-03 Annual Improvement Goals for Science

We will increase the percentage of students in grades 8 and 11 who are proficient in the use of scientific inquiry strategies as demonstrated on a district designed science performance assessment.

2002-03 Annual Improvement Goals for Science Met or Not Met

Our goal was to increase the percentage of students in grades 8 and 11 who are proficient in the use of scientific inquiry strategies as demonstrated on a district designed science performance assessment. We did not meet the goal. Last year 81% of our 8th grade students were proficient on the performance assessment. This year 67% of the students were proficient on the performance assessment. Last year 76% of the 11th graders demonstrated proficiency on the performance assessment, while this year 60% were proficient. Both 8th and 11th grade showed a drop in proficiency this year instead of an increase.

2002-03 Science Goals Not Met: Corrective Actions

- Specific action plans are described in the North Scott Comprehensive School Improvement Plan.
- The secondary science program will continue to emphasize the use of performance assessment tasks to increase student proficiency in the use of scientific inquiry strategies.
- Elementary students will also participate in grade level wide performance assessment tasks to provide a foundation for the secondary science expectations.

Annual Improvement Goal for Science for 2003-04.

We will reduce by a minimum of 10% the percent of students who are not proficient in grades 8 and 10 on the district wide science performance assessment task.





District-Wide Multiple Assessment Data Reading, Mathematics, and Science

New Standards Reference Exam for Reading and Writing Results

Test: Basic Understanding

	National		District	8 th National	Grade District	District	10 th National	Grade District	Division
Achieved St.		01-02	02-03		01-02	02-03	ivational	01-02	District 02-03
with Honors	4%	8%	10%	2%	2%	3%	1%	5%	1%
Achieved St.	53%	67%	76%	44%	44%	51%	22%	51%	48%
Nearly Achieved									
Standard	23%	20%	5%	34%	37%	34%	45%	36%	44%
Below Standard	19%	4%	8%	17%	17%	11%	26%	7%	6%
Little Evidence									
of Achievement	2%	0%	0%	3%	0%	0%	5%	1%	1%

Test: Analysis and Interpretation

		4 th Grade		811	Grade		10 th Grade			
	National	District 01-02	District 02-03	National	District 01-02	District 02-03	National	District 01-02	District 02-03	
Achieved St. with Honors	0%	1%	1%	3%	2%	4%	0%	2%	1%	
Achieved St.	30%	55%	66%	22%	11%	21%	17%	43%	36%	
Nearly Achieved Standard	47%	41%	28%	7%	44%	42%	31%	35%	40%	
Below Standard	20%	3%	4%	35%	42%	33%	46%	20%	23%	
Little Evidence of Achievement	2%	0%	0%	4%	1%	0%	6%	0%	0%	

Test: Writing Effectiveness

		4th Grade		8 th	Grade		10 th Grade			
	National	District 01-02	District 02-03	National	District 01-02	District 02-03	National	District 01-02	District 02-03	
Achieved St. with Honors	2%	1%	6%	5%	3%	6%	4%	8%	1%	
Achieved St.	32%	41%	69%	43%	34%	63%	21%	35%	26%	
Nearly Achieved Standard	36%	28%	18%	39%	55%	30%	44%	42%	67%	
Below Standard	27%	28%	5%	11%	9%	2%	28%	6%	6%	
Little Evidence of Achievement	3%	2%	0%	2%	0%	0%	3%	0%	0%	

Test: Writing Co	District 02-03	8 th National	Grade District 01-02	District 02-03	10 th <u>National</u>	Grade District 01-02	District 02-03		
Achieved St.	1%	01-02	1%	2%	3%	1%	11%	21%	12%
Achieved St.	40%	35%	61%	53%	41%	47%	46%	51%	64%
Nearly Achieved Standard	33%	42%	30%	30%	37%	39%	32%	26%	24%
Below Standard	25%	22%	8%	10%	11%	12%	8%	3%	0%
Little Evidence of Achievement	1%	0%	0%	5%	8%	1%	2%	0%	0%

Summary Data: Students in 4th and 8th grade demonstrated increased performance in all four New Standards reading and writing subtests: basic understanding, analysis and interpretation, writing effectiveness, and writing conventions. 10th grade students showed increased performance on the writing conventions subtest.

New Standards Reference Exam for Mathematics Results

Test: Mathematical Skills

		4 th Grade		8"	Grade		10 th Grade			
Achieved St.	National	District 01-02	District 02-03	<u>National</u>	District 01-02	District 02-03	National	District 01-02	District 02-03	
with Honors	7%	22%	21%	15%	36%	31%	21%	22%	15%	
Achieved St.	32%	42%	44%	23%	32%	35%	38%	42%	39%	
Nearly Achieved	ļ.,									
Standard	32%	24%	22%	24%	18%	18%	13%	11%	12%	
Below Standard	27%	11%	12%	22%	11%	13%	21%	16%	25%	
Little Evidence										
of Achievement	2%	0%	0%	17%	3%	3%	7%	9%	9%	

Test: Mathematical Concepts

Achieved St.	National	4 th Grade District 01-02	District 02-03	8 th National	Grade District 01-02	District 02-03	10 th <u>National</u>	Grade District 01-02	District 02-03
with Honors	1%	0%	1%	5%	1%	4%	13%	8%	7%
Achieved St. Nearly Achieved	12%	27%	30%	11%	14%	17%	13%	21%	19%
Standard	34%	51%	47%	16%	21%	26%	16%	2007	
Below Standard	51%	22%	21%	26%	34%	27%	33%	32%	31%
Little Evidence of Achievement	1%	0%	0%	43%	29%	0.50	2270	30%	36%
				.570	29%	26%	25%	10%	7%

Test: Problem Solving

Achieved St.	National	4 th Grade District 01-02	District 02-03	8 th National	Grade District 01-02	District 02-03	10 th National	Grade District 01-02	District 02-03	
with Honors	1%	0%	1%	5%	1%	4%	13%	8%	7%	
Achieved St.	12%	27%	30%	11%	14%	17%	13%	21%	19%	
Nearly Achieved Standard	34%	51%	47%	16%	21%	26%	16%	32%	31%	
Below Standard	51%	22%	21%	26%	34%	27%	33%	30%	36%	
Little Evidence of Achievement	1%	0%	0%	43%	29%	26%	25%	10%	7%	

Data Summary: 2002-03 4th grade students improved performance in all three New Standards Mathematical subtests: mathematical skills, math concepts and problem solving. 8th grade students scored higher than last year in math concepts and problem solving.

Local Student Achievement Data Compared with State and Nation Percentage of Students Proficient

		Achievement I	
Content Area	North Scott	State	Nation
Reading	86.4%	69%	60%
Math	86.3%	72.4%	60%

8 th Grade Proficient Achievement Data Compared with State and Nation			
Content Area	North Scott	State	Nation
Reading	72.8%	69.4%	60%
Math	74.0%	73.1%	60%
Science	84.4%	N/A	60%

11 th Grade Proficient Achievement Data Compared with State and Nation			
Content Area	North Scott	State	Nation
Reading	75.9%	77.1%	60%
Math	76.8%	81.3%	60%
Science	76.4%	N/A	60%

Additional State Indicators Results for the Class of 2003 **Dropout Data**

Of the 1.515 students in grades 7-12 in 2002-03, 17 students (1.1%) dropped out during the academic year. The dropout rate in 2001-02

Of the 743 females in grades 7-12 in 2002-03, 10 females (1.3%) dropped out during the academic year.

Of the 772 males in grades 7-12 in 2002-03, 7 males (0.9%) dropped out during the academic year.

Percentage of Students Considered as Dropouts for Grades 7 to 12 By Race

Ethnicity	Number of dropouts	Number of students	Percentage of dropouts
White	17	1466	1.2%
Black	0	15	0%
Hispanic	0	22	0%
American Indian/Alaskan	0	6	0%
Asian/ Pacific Islander	0	8	0%
Other	0	0	0%

Post-Secondary Data

Of the 213 seniors in 2002-03, 176 seniors (82.6%) intend to pursue post-secondary education/training compared to 79% in 2001-02.

College Entrance Scores

The American College Testing (ACT) exam is the usual college entrance exam taken by students who intend to go to college. A score of 20 or higher on the 36-point scale for the test indicates probable success in college. Students usually take the ACT exam during their junior year in high school. Of the 140 ACT-tested 2003 graduates, 74% scored at or above the state's identified college success indicator of an ACT score of 20. This is an increase from 72 percent of the students in 2002.

Completion of a Core Program

Of the 213 graduates in 2002-03, 120 students or 56.3% completed a core program of four years of English/language arts and three or more years each of mathematics, science, and social studies.

Graduation Rates

The 2001-02 graduation rate for North Scott Community School District is 90.2. The statewide graduation rate for 2001-02 is 89.4

Other Locally Determined Indicators

These are additional indicators that impact student learning as determined by the local school or school district.

2002 Graduate Follow-Up Survey

2002 graduates of North Scott High School were surveyed in May 2003. 208 graduates completing the survey reported the following activities:

Attending post-secondary school/training	
	64.9%
Employed	26.4%
Active military service	
Unknown	4.3%
Chillown	1 201

High School Course of Study

Of 213 2002-03 high school graduates:

68.1% (145 students) took four years of English/language arts 71.8% (153 students) took three or more years of math 68.5% (146 students) took three or more years of science 100% (213 students) took three or more years of social studies

Technology at North Scott

- 100% of classrooms are connected to the Internet
- There are more than 800 computers in the district
- 100% of North Scott teachers have an e-mail address
- A K-12 Student Information System is used district-wide
- Web-based personnel software system is used by Central Office and administrative staff
- 25 computer labs throughout the district
- 100% of classrooms have a computer
- North Scott District web site is <u>www.north-scott.k12.ia.us</u>


Teaching Qualifications

Number of teachers	225
Certified teachers	1009
Percentage with bachelor's degree	1009
Percentage with master's degree	44%



Progress with Early Intervention Goals

- 21 of 43 K-3 classes (49%) met the guidelines of 18 or fewer students per classroom in the 2002-03 school year compared to
- All students in grades K-3 were administered an individual diagnostic reading assessment twice during the school year to determine their progress individually. The information was shared with parents and interventions were provided for those students predict a science.
- Kindergarten teachers participated in staff development opportunities and ICN sessions provided by Mississippi Bend Area Education Agency (MBAEA) on the Early Literacy Advisor program. Teachers implemented strategies for increased selfregulation and scaffolded writing to support the literacy program.
- The district provided professional development opportunities to staff in a variety of ways including on-site graduate course offerings and study groups.
- The district will continue to monitor student achievement this year and use diagnostic assessments to measure progress in providing a solid academic foundation in skill readiness.
- A three-week summer reading clinic for struggling readers grades K-4 was held this summer.



We Welcome Your Comments!

This community report was prepared by the
North Scott Community School District.

To receive additional copies, or learn more, please contact
Cindy VanDeWalle, curriculum director
by phone: 563 285-3428;

e-mail: vandewalle_cindy@north-scott.k12.ia.us

O

visit our website at www.north-scott.k12.ia.us



The North Scott Community School District does not discriminate on the basis of race, color, creed, sex, marital status, national origin, religion, age or disability in its educational programs, services or employment practices. Inquiries concerning application of this statement should be addressed to:

Jim Pfaff, Equity Coordinator 251 E. Iowa Street • Eldridge, IA 52748 • Telephone Number: (563) 285-3114